2 3 4 The Honorable Marsha J. Pechman 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 9 JOHN R. BUND II, personally, as Executor NO. 2:16-cv-00920-MJP 10 of the Estate of Richard C. Bund, deceased, 11 and on behalf of others similarly situated, STIPULATED MOTION TO FILE FOURTH AMENDED COMPLAINT 12 Plaintiffs, NOTE ON MOTION CALENDAR: 13 March 21, 2018 VS. 14 SAFEGUARD PROPERTIES, LLC, a 15 Delaware corporation 16 Defendant. 17 T **STIPULATION** 18 Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a)(2) and LCR 15, Defendant consents 19 to Plaintiff amending his Third Amended Complaint, Dkt. #82, in substantially the form of the 20 attached redlined document. By providing consent, Defendant does not agree that Plaintiff's 21 proposed additional claims have merit or that Plaintiff's proposed additional class 22 representatives and additional claims satisfy the requirement of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 23. 24 Although Defendant does not adopt Plaintiffs' position on Local Rule and Federal Rule 25 of Civil Procedure 16, set forth in Part II, below, Defendant does not oppose Plaintiffs' request 26 for modification of the deadline to amend pleadings. 2.7 STIPULATED MOTION TO FILE FOURTH AMENDED **COMPLAINT** 

(2:16-cv-00920-MJP) Page 1 of 7

## II. PLAINTIFFS' STATEMENT ON RELIEF FROM DEADLINE

Defendant does not join in Part II.

On February 16, 2018, this Court issued its Order Setting Trial Date and Related Dates. Dkt. # 220. The order does not provide a deadline for amending pleadings or joining parties. *Id.* Although issued long before the recent factual and legal developments in this case, and although it has been largely superseded by Dkt. # 220, this Court's September 6, 2017 scheduling order appears to remain partially in effect, and provides the operative deadline. Dkt. # 142 (setting October 16, 2017 as the deadline to join additional parties and file amended pleadings). Plaintiff seeks to amend his complaint after the October 2017 deadline. Dkt. # 142. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16(b)(4) provides that a scheduling order may be modified only with the Judge's consent and for "good cause."

The parties therefore jointly request the Court find good cause to modify Dkt. # 142 and grant Plaintiff leave to amend his Third Amended Complaint in order to (1) remove the conversion claim in light of this Court's Order on Motion to Certify (Dkt. # 204) and consistent with the stipulated motion to dismiss John Bund's conversion claim (Dkt. ## 212, 218); (2) remove allegations relating to Mandy and Garett Hanousek, consistent with this Court's order granting Safeguard's Motion for Summary Judgment Dismissal (Dkt. # 203); (3) remove allegations relating to Crystal Haynes, in light of this Court's Order on Motion to Certify (Dkt. # 204) and consistent with the stipulated motion to dismiss Crystal Haynes claims without prejudice (Dkt. ## 211, 217); (4) revise and include allegations pertaining to two additional negligence claims in light of discovery recently conducted by the parties; and (5) join two new representative plaintiffs only recently identified by Plaintiff's counsel.

There is good cause to modify this Court's scheduling order (Dkt. # 142). At the outset, the parties note that trial in this matter was recently reset for more than fourteen months after this stipulation is filed. Dkt. # 220. Discovery remains ongoing and the deadline to complete discovery is January 11, 2019. *Id.* The deadline to file final dispositive motions is soon after:

January 22, 2019. *Id.* In short, the parties have more than ten months—ample time to address this amendment via discovery and/or dispositive briefing. Safeguard will suffer no undue prejudice from this amendment.

Class members, on the other hand, may suffer prejudice if this amendment is denied. The Court has ordered the parties to file briefing addressing John Bund's standing in light of his status as an absent class member of the class certified in *Jordan v. Nationstar Mortgage*, No. 2:14-CV-0175-TOR. *See* Dkt. # 221. The new representative plaintiffs identified in Plaintiff's proposed Fourth Amended Complaint are not members of the *Jordan* class or any similar certified class. Good cause exists to join these new representative plaintiffs to avoid any possible prejudice to certified class members' claims if Mr. Bund—the current sole representative of the class—is dismissed as a class representative.

And finally, the Rule 16(b)(4) "'good cause standard' primarily considers the diligence of the party seeking amendment." *Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations*, 975 F.2d 604, 609 (9th Cir. 1992). Plaintiff has demonstrated more than adequate diligence. And his proposed amendment addresses recent factual and legal developments in this case by (1) removing allegations and parties that this Court dismissed and/or declined to certify in recent rulings, and (2) adding claims and parties recently discovered as a result of formal discovery.

In its recent Order on Certification, this Court declined to certify Plaintiff's conversion sub-class. Plaintiff believes that this Court's Order also suggests that Safeguard's vendors are agents rather than independent contractors. Plaintiff therefore believes that, when combined with this Court's order, Safeguard's representation that it never orders its vendors to remove personal property from homes prior to the completion of foreclosure, as well as information and documents Safeguard produced in formal discovery on February 27, 2018, March 13, 2018, and in its 30(b)(6) deposition on March 8, 2018, support additional claims for negligent trespass and negligent supervision. Plaintiff very recently learned of Scott and Noel James, who have only recently agreed to serve as class representatives in this case, and representatives of the

proposed negligence claims. *Cf Bonin v. Calderon*, 59 F.3d 815, 845 (9th Cir. 1995) (suggesting it would be abuse of discretion to deny motion to amend based on new facts).

What is more, Plaintiff's counsel has only quite recently had the opportunity to review the veracity of Scott's and Noel's potential claims against Safeguard. Pursuant to the Court's order, Dkt. # 216, p. 2, Plaintiff requested Scott's and Noel's property file on March 2, 2018, and Safeguard produced it on March 13, 2018—long after the October 16, 2017 operative deadline to amend. Stated otherwise, the scheduling order deadline could not "reasonably [have been] met despite the diligence of the party seeking the extension." *Zikovic v. Southern California Edison Co.*, 302 F.3d 1080, 1087 (9th Cir. 2002). Further, Plaintiff's counsel reviewed the produced records the next day, verified Scott's and Noel's claims, then drafted and provided this stipulation and proposed amendment for Safeguard's review on March 15, 2018.

Plaintiff has made every effort to diligently seek this amendment. And there is no evidence of undue delay, bad faith, dilatory motive, or prejudice to Safeguard. There is thus good cause to amend Dkt. # 142 and grant Plaintiff leave to amend.

Respectfully submitted this 21st day of March, 2018.

JEFFERS, DANIELSON, SONN & AYLWARD, P.S.

## s/ CLAY M. GATENS

Clay M. Gatens, WSBA No. 34102 Devon A. Gray, WSBA No. 51485 JEFFERS, DANIELSON, SONN & AYLWARD, P.S. 2600 Chester Kimm Road P.O. Box 1688

Wenatchee, WA 98807-1688 Telephone: 509-662-3685

Fax: 509-662-2452

Email: clayg@jdsalaw.com Email: devong@jdsalaw.com

26

25

27

STIPULATED MOTION TO FILE FOURTH AMENDED COMPLAINT (2:16-cv-00920-MJP) Page 4 of 7

1	Respectfully submitted this 21st day of March, 2018.
2	
3	Michael D. Daudt, WSBA #25690 DAUDT LAW PLLC
4	2200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 1250
5	Seattle, Washington 98121 Telephone: (206) 445-7733
	Facsimile: (206) 445-7739
6	Email: mike@daudtlaw.com
7 8	Respectfully submitted this 21st day of March, 2018.
	Respectivity submitted this 21st day of March, 2016.
9	Beth E. Terrell, WSBA #26759
11	Blythe H. Chandler, WSBA #43387 TERRELL, MARSHALL LAW GROUP PLLC
12	Attorneys for Plaintiffs 936 North 34th Street, Suite 300
	Seattle, Washington 98103
13	Telephone: (206) 816-6603
14	Facsimile: (206) 319-5450 Email: bterrell@terrellmarshall.com
15	Email: bchandler@terrellmarshall.com
16	Attorneys for Plaintiff
17	
18	Respectfully submitted this 21st day of March, 2018.
19	LEE SMART, P.S., INC.
20	
21	<u>s/PAMELA J. DEVET</u> Pamela J. DeVet, WSBA No. 32882
22	Kellan W. Byrne, WSBA No. 49825
	701 Pike Street, Suite 1800 Seattle, WA 98101
23	Telephone: 206-624-7990
24	Email: pjd@leesmart.com
25	Email: kwb@leesmart.com
26	Attorneys for Defendant Safeguard Properties
27	Management, LLC
	STIPULATED MOTION TO FILE FOURTH AMENDED COMPLAINT
- 1	

(2:16-cv-00920-MJP) Page 5 of 7

## III. ORDER OF THE COURT

It is so ordered.

Dated this \_23rd\_ day of \_March\_, 2018.

Marsha J. Pechman

United States District Judge

STIPULATED MOTION TO FILE FOURTH AMENDED COMPLAINT (2:16-cv-00920-MJP) Page 6 of 7

1 2

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

24

25

26

27

I hereby certify that on March 21, 2018, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF System. Notice of this filing will be sent to the parties listed below by operation of the Court's electronic filing system. Parties may access this filing through the Court's system.

> PAMELA J. DEVET pjd@leesmart.com kwb@leesmart.com KELLAN W. BYRNE

DATED at Wenatchee, Washington this 21<sup>st</sup> of March, 2018.

By: s/CLAY M. GATENS

Clay M. Gatens, WSBA No. 34102

JEFFERS, DANIELSON, SONN & AYLWARD, P.S.

2600 Chester Kimm Road

P.O. Box 1688

Wenatchee, WA 98807-1688 Telephone: 509-662-3685

Fax: 509-662-2452

Email: ClayG@jdsalaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs

STIPULATED MOTION TO FILE FOURTH AMENDED **COMPLAINT** 

(2:16-cv-00920-MJP) Page 7 of 7